

UNITED STATES LEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

C

AM-888/T-020

09×120.961

- Y

11/11/98

NGLIYEN

IM22/0614

MICHAEL B EINSCHLAG MS 2634 LEGAL AFFAIRS DEPT APPLIED MATERIALS INC 3050 BOWERS AVENUE SANTA CLARA CA 95054 EXAMINER BUEKER, R

APT CYC

PAPER NUMBER

1763

17

DATE MARKE

06/14/01

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

☐ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE							
a)	X	is extended to run	6 menths	or continues to run	from t	ne date of the final rejection	
b)		expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
		Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition , and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above					
	Ap	pellant's Brief is due i	n accordance with	37 CFR 1.192(a).			
X	App to p	Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed $\frac{6-4-01}{}$ has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:					
1	X	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:					
a [X] There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is nece presented.					ment is necessary and was not earlier		
		b 🔀 They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).					
		c. They raise the	issue of new matte	atter (See Note).			
		d 🔀 They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.					
		e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
		NOTE: The proposed changes to claims 1, 8, 20, 26 and 28 represent new issues after final-					
2.		Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims					
3.	×	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🗌 will be entered 🔀 will not be entered and the status of the claims w be as follows:					
		Claims allowed					
		Claims objected to		6			
		However,					
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s)							
4.		The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because					
5	<u> </u>	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented					
[]	The	proposed drawing co	nrection [] has	has not been app	proved by the examiner	Ruled Buch	
,				ary attache		RICHARD BUEKER PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1763	